

Weighted sampling of outer products

Daniel Hsu*

October 17, 2014

Abstract

This note gives a simple analysis of the randomized approximation scheme for matrix multiplication of Drineas et al. (2006) with a particular sampling distribution over outer products. The result follows from a matrix version of Bernstein's inequality. To approximate the matrix product $\mathbf{AB}^\top$ to spectral norm error $\varepsilon\|\mathbf{A}\|\|\mathbf{B}\|$, it suffices to sample on the order of $(\text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \vee \text{sr}(\mathbf{B})) \log(\text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \wedge \text{sr}(\mathbf{B}))/\varepsilon^2$ outer products, where $\text{sr}(\mathbf{M})$ is the stable rank of a matrix $\mathbf{M}$.

1 Introduction

Let the matrices $\mathbf{A} := [\mathbf{a}_1|\mathbf{a}_2|\dots|\mathbf{a}_n]$ and $\mathbf{B} := [\mathbf{b}_1|\mathbf{b}_2|\dots|\mathbf{b}_n]$ be given. We are interested in developing an estimator of $\mathbf{AB}^\top$ constructed as a positive linear combination of m randomly chosen outer products of the form $\mathbf{a}_i\mathbf{b}_i^\top$. We'll choose these outer products randomly (with replacement) using a particular sampling distribution based on the lengths of the vectors $\mathbf{a}_i$ and $\mathbf{b}_i$.

A certain sampling distribution proposed by Drineas et al. (2006) is optimal for Frobenius norm error. To obtain a spectral norm error of $\varepsilon\|\mathbf{A}\|\|\mathbf{B}\|$, this approach seems to require

$$m \gtrsim \frac{\text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \text{sr}(\mathbf{B}) \log(\text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \text{sr}(\mathbf{B}))}{\varepsilon^2}$$

samples, where

$$\text{sr}(\mathbf{M}) := \frac{\|\mathbf{M}\|_F^2}{\|\mathbf{M}\|^2}$$

is the *stable rank* of a matrix $\mathbf{M}$. Note that $\text{sr}(\mathbf{M})$ is always at most the rank of $\mathbf{M}$.

Another scheme proposed by Sarlós (2006) first multiplies both matrices $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ (on the right) by a random orthogonal matrix, and then uses uniform random sampling to pick outer products formed using columns of these randomly rotated matrices. As shown by Hsu et al. (2012b), for certain classes of random orthogonal matrices, this scheme requires

$$m \gtrsim \frac{((\text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \vee \text{sr}(\mathbf{B})) + \log(n)) \log(\text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \vee \text{sr}(\mathbf{B}))}{\varepsilon^2}$$

samples to obtain a spectral norm error bound of $\varepsilon\|\mathbf{A}\|\|\mathbf{B}\|$.¹

In this note, we describe a sampling distribution that requires

$$m \gtrsim \frac{(\text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \vee \text{sr}(\mathbf{B})) \log(\text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \wedge \text{sr}(\mathbf{B}))}{\varepsilon^2}$$

*Department of Computer Science, Columbia University. E-mail: djhsu@cs.columbia.edu

¹We use the notation $a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$ and $a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$.

samples to obtain a spectral norm error bound $\varepsilon\|\mathbf{A}\|\|\mathbf{B}\|$. The sampling distribution is very natural; the purpose of this note is merely to record a simple analysis based on a probability tail inequality for sums of random matrices.

2 The sampling scheme and estimator

Define

$$p_i := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\|\mathbf{a}_i\|^2}{\|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2} + \frac{\|\mathbf{b}_i\|^2}{\|\mathbf{B}\|_F^2} \right), \quad \forall i \in [n].$$

Observe that $p_i \geq 0$ for all $i \in [n]$, and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$; thus $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ is a valid probability distribution. This sampling distribution is similar to one from Bhojanapalli et al. (2014) for a different but related problem. The proposed estimator has the same form as that of Drineas et al. (2006)—it is the empirical average of m i.i.d. random matrices $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_m$:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{AB}^\top} := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{X}_i$$

where

$$\Pr \left\{ \mathbf{X}_1 = \frac{1}{p_i} \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{b}_i^\top \right\} = p_i, \quad \forall i \in [n].$$

(We assume without loss of generality that $p_i > 0$ for all $i \in [n]$.)

For comparison, the sampling distribution of Drineas et al. has $p_i \propto \|\mathbf{a}_i\| \|\mathbf{b}_i\|$ but otherwise is the same.

3 The result

Theorem 1. *For any $t > 0$,*

$$\Pr \left\{ \frac{\|\widehat{\mathbf{AB}^\top} - \mathbf{AB}^\top\|}{\|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{B}\|} > \left(\sqrt{\frac{4(\text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \vee \text{sr}(\mathbf{B}))t}{m}} + \frac{(\sqrt{\text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \text{sr}(\mathbf{B})} + 1)t}{m} \right) \right\} \leq 4(\text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \wedge \text{sr}(\mathbf{B})) \cdot \frac{t}{e^t - t - 1}.$$

Remark 1. In personal communication, John Holodnak and Ilse Ipsen informed me that they have also obtained essentially the same bound using this sampling scheme.

Remark 2. Theorem 1 implies the following. There is a constant $c > 0$ such that if

$$m \geq c \cdot \left(\frac{\text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \vee \text{sr}(\mathbf{B})}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\sqrt{\text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \text{sr}(\mathbf{B})}}{\varepsilon} \right) \log(\text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \wedge \text{sr}(\mathbf{B}))$$

for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, then with high probability,

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{AB}^\top} - \mathbf{AB}^\top\| \leq \varepsilon \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{B}\|.$$

Remark 3. The bound has no explicit dependence on the extrinsic dimensions of the $\mathbf{a}_i$ or $\mathbf{b}_i$. We obtain this result by using a version of the matrix Bernstein inequality from Hsu et al. (2012a) that depends only on intrinsic dimensions (which in this case are $\text{sr}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\text{sr}(\mathbf{B})$). It is straightforward to apply more recent versions of this inequality, such as one by Minsker (2011), to obtain somewhat sharper probability tails.

To prove Theorem 1, we shall apply a tail inequality for the spectral norm of sums of symmetric random matrices from Hsu et al. (2012a). Define the symmetric random matrices

$$\mathbf{Z}_i := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^\top \\ \mathbf{X}_i^\top - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}^\top & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \forall i \in [n].$$

Then

$$\left\| \widehat{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^\top} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^\top \right\| = \left\| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{Z}_i \right\|.$$

We need bounds on the following quantities: $\|\mathbf{Z}_1\|$ (with probability one), $\|\mathbb{E}\mathbf{Z}_1^2\|$, and $\text{tr}(\mathbb{E}\mathbf{Z}_1^2)$.

Claim 1. *With probability one, $\|\mathbf{Z}_1\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_F \|\mathbf{B}\|_F + \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{B}\|$.*

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} p_i &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\|\mathbf{a}_i\|^2}{\|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2} + \frac{\|\mathbf{b}_i\|^2}{\|\mathbf{B}\|_F^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\|\mathbf{B}\|_F^2 \|\mathbf{a}_i\|^2 + \|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 \|\mathbf{b}_i\|^2}{2\|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 \|\mathbf{B}\|_F^2} \\ &\geq \frac{2\|\mathbf{B}\|_F \|\mathbf{a}_i\| \|\mathbf{A}\|_F \|\mathbf{b}_i\|}{2\|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 \|\mathbf{B}\|_F^2} \\ &= \frac{\|\mathbf{a}_i\| \|\mathbf{b}_i\|}{\|\mathbf{A}\|_F \|\mathbf{B}\|_F} \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in [n]$, where the inequality relates arithmetic and geometric means. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{p_i} \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{b}_i^\top - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^\top \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{p_i} \|\mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{b}_i^\top\| + \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^\top\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p_i} \|\mathbf{a}_i\| \|\mathbf{b}_i\| + \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{B}\| \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_F \|\mathbf{B}\|_F + \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{B}\|. \end{aligned}$$

This means that $\|\mathbf{Z}_1\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_F \|\mathbf{B}\|_F + \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{B}\|$ with probability one. □

Claim 2. $\|\mathbb{E}\mathbf{Z}_1^2\| \leq 2(\text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \vee \text{sr}(\mathbf{B})) \|\mathbf{A}\|^2 \|\mathbf{B}\|^2$.

Proof. First, it is easy to see that $\mathbb{E}\mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^\top$.

Now observe that $\mathbf{Z}_1^2$ is symmetric positive semidefinite, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\mathbf{Z}_1^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} \|\mathbf{b}_i\|^2 \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{a}_i^\top - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}^\top & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} \|\mathbf{a}_i\|^2 \mathbf{b}_i \mathbf{b}_i^\top - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^\top \end{bmatrix} \\ &\preceq \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} \|\mathbf{b}_i\|^2 \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{a}_i^\top & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} \|\mathbf{a}_i\|^2 \mathbf{b}_i \mathbf{b}_i^\top \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|\mathbb{E}\mathbf{Z}_1^2\| \leq \left(\lambda_{\max} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} \|\mathbf{b}_i\|^2 \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{a}_i^\top \right) \vee \lambda_{\max} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} \|\mathbf{a}_i\|^2 \mathbf{b}_i \mathbf{b}_i^\top \right) \right).$$

We have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} \|\mathbf{b}_i\|^2 \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{a}_i^\top \preceq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{p_i} \|\mathbf{b}_i\|^2 : i \in [n] \right\} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{a}_i^\top \right) \preceq 2 \|\mathbf{B}\|_F^2 \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^\top$$

since $p_i \geq 0.5 \|\mathbf{b}_i\|^2 / \|\mathbf{B}\|_F^2$ for each $i \in [n]$. Therefore

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} \|\mathbf{b}_i\|^2 \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{a}_i^\top \right\| \leq 2 \|\mathbf{B}\|_F^2 \|\mathbf{A}\|^2.$$

Similarly,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} \|\mathbf{a}_i\|^2 \mathbf{b}_i \mathbf{b}_i^\top \right\| \leq 2 \|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 \|\mathbf{B}\|^2.$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{E}\mathbf{Z}_1^2\| &\leq 2(\|\mathbf{B}\|_F^2 \|\mathbf{A}\|^2 \vee \|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 \|\mathbf{B}\|^2) \\ &= 2(\text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \vee \text{sr}(\mathbf{B})) \|\mathbf{A}\|^2 \|\mathbf{B}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Claim 3. $\text{tr}(\mathbb{E}\mathbf{Z}_1^2) \leq 4 \text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \text{sr}(\mathbf{B}) \|\mathbf{A}\|^2 \|\mathbf{B}\|^2$.

Proof. Using the expression for $\mathbb{E}\mathbf{Z}_1^2$ from Claim 2, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\mathbb{E}\mathbf{Z}_1^2) &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} \|\mathbf{a}_i\|^2 \|\mathbf{b}_i\|^2 - 2 \text{tr}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A}^\top) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} \|\mathbf{a}_i\|^2 \|\mathbf{b}_i\|^2 \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2 \|\mathbf{a}_i\|^2 \|\mathbf{b}_i\|^2}{\frac{\|\mathbf{a}_i\|^2}{\|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2} + \frac{\|\mathbf{b}_i\|^2}{\|\mathbf{B}\|_F^2}} \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2}{\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 \|\mathbf{b}_i\|^2} + \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{B}\|_F^2 \|\mathbf{a}_i\|^2}} \\ &\leq 4 \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 \|\mathbf{b}_i\|^2 \\ &= 4 \|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 \|\mathbf{B}\|_F^2 \\ &= 4 \text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \text{sr}(\mathbf{B}) \|\mathbf{A}\|^2 \|\mathbf{B}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1. We apply the matrix Bernstein inequality from Hsu et al. (2012a). We have from Claims 1, 2, and 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{Z}_i\| &\leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_F \|\mathbf{B}\|_F + \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{B}\| =: \bar{b} \quad (\text{with probability one}), \\ \|\mathbb{E}\mathbf{Z}_i^2\| &\leq 2(\text{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \vee \text{sr}(\mathbf{B})) \|\mathbf{A}\|^2 \|\mathbf{B}\|^2 =: \bar{\sigma}^2, \\ \text{tr}(\mathbb{E}\mathbf{Z}_1^2) &\leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \bar{k} \end{aligned}$$

for

$$\bar{k} := \frac{4 \operatorname{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \operatorname{sr}(\mathbf{B}) \|\mathbf{A}\|^2 \|\mathbf{B}\|^2}{\bar{\sigma}^2} = \frac{4 \operatorname{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \operatorname{sr}(\mathbf{B}) \|\mathbf{A}\|^2 \|\mathbf{B}\|^2}{2(\operatorname{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \vee \operatorname{sr}(\mathbf{B})) \|\mathbf{A}\|^2 \|\mathbf{B}\|^2} = 2(\operatorname{sr}(\mathbf{A}) \wedge \operatorname{sr}(\mathbf{B})).$$

Therefore, by the matrix Bernstein inequality from Hsu et al. (2012a) and a union bound,

$$\Pr \left\{ \left\| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{Z}_i \right\| > \sqrt{\frac{2\bar{\sigma}^2 t}{m}} + \frac{\bar{b}t}{3m} \right\} \leq 2\bar{k} \cdot \frac{t}{e^t - t - 1}. \quad \square$$

References

- Srinadh Bhojanapalli, Prateek Jain, and Sujay Sanghavi. Tighter low-rank approximation via sampling the leveraged element. *CoRR*, abs/1410.3886, 2014.
- Petros Drineas, Ravi Kannan, and Michael W. Mahoney. Fast Monte Carlo algorithms for matrices. I. Approximating matrix multiplication. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 36(1):132–157, 2006.
- Daniel Hsu, Sham M. Kakade, and Tong Zhang. Tail inequalities for sums of random matrices that depend on the intrinsic dimension. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 17(14):1–13, 2012a.
- Daniel Hsu, Sham M. Kakade, and Tong Zhang. Analysis of a randomized approximation scheme for matrix multiplication. *CoRR*, abs/1211.5414, 2012b.
- Stanislav Minsker. On some extensions of Bernstein’s inequality for self-adjoint operators. *ArXiv e-prints*, 1112.5448, 2011.
- Tamás Sarlós. Improved approximation algorithms for large matrices via random projections. In *Proceedings of the 47th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 2006.